

Remarks/Arguments

Reconsideration of this Application is requested.

Claims 15-20 and 22-27 have been rejected by the Examiner under 35 USC § 102 (a and e) as being anticipated by Sansone (U.S. Patent No. 6,574,000).

Sansone discloses the following in line 47 of column 2 – line 16 of column 3.

“This invention overcomes the disadvantages of the prior art by providing a system that will supply permanent and human and machine readable evidence that a approved printer or unapproved printer was used to print the indicia in question. The system will first capture the postal customer's or mailers printer type and configuration setting information, paper, ink, or toner combination and then use the foregoing information to enable printing of the Information-Based Indicia if the active printer going to print the indicia is found on a stored (local or remote) “Information-Based Indicia Approved Printer's List”. Then the system will add this same printer information to the USPS defined Information-Based Indicia print field format so as to provide evidence that an approved printer or unapproved printer or proper supplies were used. The foregoing printer information may be printed in a coded form on the Information-Based Indicia to automate the sortation of indicium that cannot be read. Thus, this invention will improve the processing of Information-Based Indicia mail by reducing an eventually virtually eliminating the use of printers, printer settings, paper envelopes, inks and toners that cannot be read by Information-Based Indicia scanners. Hence, this invention will improve the processing of mail.

The foregoing is accomplished by collecting information about the indicia printer, the indicia printer settings, the paper on which the indicia is going to be printed and the ink or toner that is going to be used to print the indicia, using the program contained in the user computer. Then the program contained in the user computer decides if the printer, paper, ink, or toner

combination is approved by the USPS to allow printing. At this point the program contained in the user computer notifies the user of the status of the selected printer, paper and ink, or toner. Now, the program contained in the Postal Security Device computer adds the coded representation of the selected printer, paper and ink or toner to the indicia to automate the post processing of mail pieces that have indicia that cannot be read."

Sansone discloses the following in lines 22-23.

"The position held by letters K, L and M may be used to represent the type of ink that was used to print indicia 21."

Thus, Sansone uses information about the type of ink, i.e., manufactures cartridge number to decide if that ink along with the printer, paper combination selected by the user is approved by the USPS for the printing of an Information-Based Indicia.

Claim 15 as amended and those claims dependent thereon are not disclosed or anticipated by Sansone. Sansone does not disclose or anticipate processing means coupled to the print element for causing the print element to print at least one symbol as part of the indicia, the at least one symbol including ink physical characteristic data that is indicative of a physical characteristic of the ink.

Sansone does not disclose or anticipate using ink physical characteristic data, i.e., color, spectral characteristic, luminescence.

Claim 22 as amended and those claims dependent thereon are not disclosed or anticipated by Sansone. Sansone does not disclose or anticipate applying the ink to the substrate to form an indicia such that the indicia includes at least one symbol, the at least one symbol including ink physical characteristic data that is indicative of the physical characteristic of the ink.

Claims 1-28 have been rejected by the Examiner under 35 USC § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Leon (U.S. Patent 6,701,304) in view of Sansone.

Leon discloses the following in lines 18-41 of column 13.

"FIG. 5 shows a block diagram of an embodiment of an authentication system **500** for the detection of fraudulent postage indicia. A mail piece **502** that includes a printed indicium label **504** is provided to the authentication system. Within the authentication system, a data reader **510** reads the human-readable information on the postage label, a symbology reader **520** reads the machine-readable information (e.g., the FIM marking, bar code, and others), and a marking detector **530** detects other imprints that may or may not be visible. The marking detector is designed to detect features not detected by readers **510** and **520**. For example, the marking detector can be designed to detect the identifiers and markings printed on the label, the use of invisible and/or fluorescent ink, the micro printing, taggants in the ink, and other features described above.

The information detected by these elements is passed to a computer **540** that analyzes, verifies, and authenticates the information retrieved from the postage label. For example, computer **540** can authenticate a digital signature that is imprinted on the postage label (i.e., using the SMD's public key that is provided in, and detected from the postage label). Computer **540** may also authenticate the postage information by comparing the decoded data with the unencoded data from the postage label."

Leon detects the identifiers and markings printed on the label and the use of invisible and/or fluorescent ink.

Leon or Sansone taken separately or together do not disclose or anticipate the following steps of claim 1 as amended and those claims dependent thereon namely, detecting means for detecting at least one ink physical characteristic of the indicia to generate second ink characteristic data; and

processing means, coupled to the reading means and to the detecting means, for comparing the second ink physical characteristic data with the first ink physical characteristic data.

Leon or Sansone taken separately or together do not disclose or anticipate the following steps of claim 8 as amended and those claims dependent thereon namely, detecting at least one ink physical characteristic of the indicia to generate second ink characteristic data; and

comparing the second ink physical characteristic data with the first ink physical characteristic data to verify the indicia.

Leon or Sansone taken separately or together do not disclose or anticipate the following element of claim 15 as amended and those claims dependent thereon namely, processing means coupled to the print element for causing the print element to print at least one symbol as part of the indicia, the at least one symbol including ink physical characteristic data that is indicative of a physical characteristic of the ink.

Leon or Sansone taken separately or together do not disclose or anticipate the following step of claim 22 and those claims dependent thereon namely, applying the ink to the substrate to form an indicia such that the indicia includes at least one symbol, the at least one symbol including ink physical characteristic data that is indicative of the physical characteristic of the ink.

The cited references do not disclose or anticipate detecting data that indicates physical characteristics of the ink that was used to print the indicia and comparing the physical ink characteristics to verify the indicia.

In view of the above claims 1-28 as amended are patentable.

If the Examiner has any questions would the Examiner please call the undersigned at the telephone number noted below.

Appln. No.: 10/645,376
Amdt. Dated: August 16, 2005
Reply to Office Action dated: May 19, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ronald Reichman", written over a horizontal line.

Ronald Reichman
Reg. No. 26,796
Attorney of Record
Telephone (203) 924-3854

PITNEY BOWES INC.
Intellectual Property and
Technology Law Department
35 Waterview Drive
P.O. Box 3000
Shelton, CT 06484-8000